

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**

**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> :</b> <b>A61K 39/008, 39/085, 39/21, 9/10, 9/107, 9/127, 37/20</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 95/11700</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 4 May 1995 (04.05.95)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US93/10402 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 29 October 1993 (29.10.93)  <b>(71) Applicants (for all designated States except US):</b> PHARMOS CORP. [US/US]; 101 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022 (US). UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [US/US]; Secretary of the Army, Washington, DC 20310 (US).  <b>(72) Inventors; and</b> <b>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only):</b> LOWELL, George, H. [US/US]; 6303 Western Run Drive, Baltimore, MD 21215 (US). AMSELEM, Shimon [IL/IL]; 38/9 Binyamin Street, Rehovot (IL). FRIEDMAN, Doron [IL/IL]; 33 Alon Street, Carmei Yosef (IL). AVIV, Haim [IL/IL]; 9 Habrosh Street, Rehovot (IL).  <b>(74) Agents:</b> JARKOVSKY, Isaac et al.; Pennie & Edmonds, 1155 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 (US).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CZ, DE, DK, FI, GB, HU, JP, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LU, LV, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SK, UA, US, UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> SUBMICRON EMULSIONS AS VACCINE ADJUVANTS  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  A vaccine adjuvant composition of an oil-in-water submicron emulsion that has about 0.5 to 50 % of a first component of an oil, about 0.1 to 10 % of a second component of an emulsifier, about 0.05 to 5 % of a nonionic surfactant, about 0.00001 to 1 % of an immunogen, and an aqueous continuous phase. This submicron emulsion has a mean droplet size in the range of between about 0.03 and 0.5 $\mu\text{m}$ , and preferably 0.05 and 0.2 $\mu\text{m}$ .		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

SUBMICRON EMULSIONS AS VACCINE ADJUVANTS

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 This invention relates to the use of oil-in-water submicron emulsions as vaccine adjuvants for enhancing the immunogenicity and improvement of the immune response of antigens and to methods and compositions for preparing them.

## 10 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In the past, the risks of whole-pathogen vaccines and limited supplies of useful antigens posed barriers to development of practical vaccines. Today, the tremendous advances of genetic engineering and the ability to obtain  
15 many synthetic recombinant protein antigens derived from parasites, viruses, and bacteria has revolutionized the development of new generation vaccines.

Although the new, small synthetic antigens offer advantages in the selection of antigenic epitopes and  
20 safety, a general drawback of small antigens is poor immunogenicity, resulting in low antibody titers and the need for repeated immunizations. This lack of immunogenicity has created an acute need to identify pharmaceutically acceptable delivery systems or adjuvants  
25 for these new antigens.

To improve the immune response usually antigens are mixed with adjuvant substances that stimulate immunogenicity. Immunological adjuvants have generally been divided into two basic types: aluminum salts and oil  
30 emulsions.

Aluminum phosphate and hydroxide (alum) have a long history of use as adjuvants. They are the only ones recognized as safe for this use by the Food and Drug Administration. Antibody levels against antigens in  
35 alum-based vaccines are clearly, although moderately, elevated above those obtained with the corresponding

- 2 -

aqueous vaccine. However, aluminum compounds have not always enhanced the immunogenicity of vaccines, and the problem of inconsistent antibody production has been frequently cited. Occasional production of sterile  
5 abscesses and persistent nodules were also reported with alum-adjuvanted vaccines. Regarding long term side effects, researchers have suggested a link between aluminum and diseases of the brain, including Alzheimer's disease (Edelman, R.: Vaccine adjuvants. Rev. Inf. Dis.  
10 1980; 2:370-383).

The development of emulsified oil adjuvants emerged historically from the studies of J. Freund who observed a remarkable increase in both the antibody and delayed hypersensitivity response to killed mycobacteria if the  
15 organisms were incorporated in paraffin oil. There are two types of Freund's mineral-oil adjuvants: Incomplete Freund's Adjuvant (IFA), consisting of an approximately 50:50 water-in-oil emulsion, and complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA), a similar preparation with inclusion of  
20 killed mycobacteria. The powerful antibody-stimulating effect of CFA has not been surpassed by any other adjuvant. However, because of severe pain, abscess formation, fever and granulomatous inflammation, CFA can be used only for experimental purposes and not in human  
25 or veterinary vaccines. The toxic reactions reported using mineral oil-adjuvanted vaccines were attributed to impurities in Arlacel A (principally mannide monooleate), the emulsifying agent used in the preparations.

The use of IFA in humans has been limited to those  
30 clinical situations in which aqueous vaccines are relatively impotent and aluminum compounds have not provided enough adjuvant activity. J. Salk made practical the use of IFA in human vaccines by using a highly refined mineral oil and a purified Arlacel A  
35 emulsifier free of toxic substances injected intramuscularly in thousands of recipients. However,

- 3 -

occasional failure of IFA vaccines reported in humans, and the discovery that Arlacel A was carcinogenic in mice, despite the absence of increased tumor formation in humans, has restricted the use of IFA vaccine  
5 formulations.

Since CFA was the first successful adjuvant, most investigators followed the example of CFA in assuming that substitutes for each of the three components, viz. oil, emulsifier and immunostimulant, are necessary for  
10 formulating a successful adjuvant.

U.S. Patents 4,772,466 and 4,606,918 disclose methods for enhancing the immunogenicity of an antigen by emulsifying it with a polyoxypropylene-polyoxyethylene block polymer, a glycol ether-based surfactant, a  
15 metabolizable non-toxic oil, and an immunopotentiating amount of an immunostimulating glycopeptide.

Pharmaceutical compositions comprising an oil-in-water micron size emulsion, refined detoxified endotoxin, cell wall skeleton and trehalose dimycolate have been  
20 disclosed as vaccine adjuvants in U.S Patents 4,505,900 and 4,803,070.

International patent application (PCT) WO 90/14837 discloses adjuvant composition comprising a metabolizable oil and emulsifying agent in the form of an  
25 oil-in-water emulsion, where the antigen is added externally to the prepared emulsion (extrinsic formulation). All the examples in the disclosure contained the immunostimulating agent, MTP-PE, a lipophilic muramyl peptide derivative.

30

#### SUMMARY OF INVENTION

The present invention provides vaccine adjuvant compositions in the form of an emulsion of a plurality of submicron oil-in-water droplets having a particle size in  
35 the range of between about 30 nm to about 500 nm to effect enhanced immunogenicity of antigens incorporated

- 4 -

intrinsically or extrinsically into the droplets. These droplets or particles form a submicron emulsion ("SME") for use as the vaccine adjuvant.

In marked contrast to the aforementioned  
5 disclosures, as will be described, the present invention does not require use of any immunostimulatory mycobacteria or muramyl peptide-like additives for its submicron emulsion to be effective. Moreover, as will be seen, a preferred embodiment of the present invention  
10 consists of intrinsically incorporating the antigen into the emulsion at the time of formation of the emulsion; this is in distinct contrast to mixing the antigen with the emulsion after the emulsion has been independently extrinsically formed. It will be appreciated that  
15 intrinsic formulation will be effective even in situations and conditions and species where extrinsic formulation is not. In this regard as well, the present invention is uniquely different and not at all implied by the previously mentioned applications which indeed  
20 teaches away from the present invention in stating that it is sufficient to simply mix the antigen with the extrinsically previously formed emulsion.

The vaccine compositions of this invention also do not include any polyoxypropylene-polyoxyethylene block  
25 polymer, trehalose dimycolate, or cell wall skeleton, as are found in prior art compositions.

Another aspect of this invention is to provide compositions and methods for the preparation of submicron emulsions containing antigens, incorporated either  
30 intrinsically (emulsified together with the oil and surfactant) or extrinsically (added externally to a prepared SME).

In some cases, the submicron emulsion of the present invention can be administered in combination with other  
35 adjuvant systems, such as proteosomes, as indicated in the examples.

- 5 -

The size, concentration and specific formulation of SMEs may be varied to suit the particular antigen used. Moreover, such adjuvant preparations may enhance both humoral and cell-mediated immunity (CMI) as do Freund's adjuvants. The SMEs here described have been developed for human use and since the oily droplets of the emulsions are of submicron size and contain no added pyrogenic moieties such as mycobacteria or MDP derivatives they have, unlike Freund's adjuvants, great safety potential. They may be especially applicable to antigens that are vaccine candidates to protect against biologic toxins or infectious agents, which have natural hydrophobic moieties as a component including transmembrane viral, bacterial or parasite proteins, membrane proteins such as proteosomes, lipopolysaccharides, glycolipids such as gangliosides, or a variety of proteins or peptides to which hydrophobic anchors have been chemically or genetically added.

Another aspect of the invention provides compositions and methods to achieve mucosal immunity by using an emulsion comprising a plurality of submicron particles, a mucoadhesive macromolecule, immunogenic peptide or antigen, and an aqueous continuous phase, which induces mucosal immunity by achieving mucoadhesion of the emulsion particles to mucosal surfaces. Mucous surfaces suitable for application of the emulsions of the present invention may include-ocular (corneal, conjunctival), oral (buccal, sublingual), nasal, vaginal and rectal routes of administration.

The emulsion particles have a hydrophobic core comprising a lipid or lipid-like composition and are stabilized with amphiphilic and/or non-ionic surfactants.

A wide variety of immunogens, including both water-soluble and water-insoluble peptides or polysaccharides, may be accommodated in the present emulsions. The hydrophobic core and surfactant provide a



- 6 -

microenvironment which accommodates lipophilic immunogens such as lipid A or lipopolysaccharides as well as membrane-associated peptide antigen domains, while the aqueous continuous phase accommodates water-soluble peptide domains, or oligosaccharides.

The term "peptide" herein includes both oligopeptides and proteins. To facilitate intestinal uptake, the emulsions may be encapsulated in gelatin capsules or otherwise enterocoated to prevent their exposure to gastric fluids when the oral route of administration is selected. Furthermore, the emulsions may be lyophilized as disclosed previously in Pharmos Corp. International Application Publication WO 93/15736 prior to their encapsulation in order to achieve added stability of the antigen.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING FIGURES

Figure 1 is a graph showing the size distribution of Intrinsic-SME vaccine containing SEB-Toxoid F antigen.

Figures 2A and 2B show the immune response obtained after parenteral immunization with formalinized SEB-Toxoid (Toxoid F) at two different antigen doses, 10  $\mu$ g (A) and 50  $\mu$ g (B), formulated in extrinsic-SME, intrinsic-SME, alum, or free antigen.

Figure 3 shows the immunogenicity of SEB-Toxoid F complexed to proteosomes as a free antigen or adjuvanted with alum or extrinsic SME.

Figure 4 shows the anti gp160 IgG antibody rabbit titers obtained after parenteral immunization with gp160 formulated in alum, proteosomes or SME adjuvants.

Figure 5 shows the specific anti-Alex 10 (V3 loop) rabbit IgG titers obtained after parenteral immunization with gp160 formulated in alum, proteosomes or SME adjuvants.

- 7 -

**DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

This invention is directed to pharmaceutical compositions comprising submicron emulsions as vaccine adjuvants, and to methods for preparing and using such compositions.

**Features of the Submicron Emulsion (SME) Particles**

The submicron emulsion vaccine adjuvants of the present invention comprise an aqueous continuous phase suspending a colloidal phase of submicron particles. The particles have a weighted average diameter of about 30 to 500 nm, more preferably about 50 to 200 nm. In many embodiments, the weighted average diameter be less than 460 nm, 400 nm, 300 nm, or 200 nm.

Usually the weighted average diameter will be greater than 40 nm or 50 nm, and frequently is greater than 70 nm. Often, the above-stated upper and lower diameter ranges will include both the weighted average and at least one standard deviation of particle diameter.

The emulsion particle comprises a hydrophobic core, often including or even consisting essentially of a metabolizable and non-toxic oil such as MCT (medium chain triglycerides) oil of the type extensively used in parenteral emulsions like INTRALIPID® or a vegetable oil. Optionally, other hydrophobic lipids may be used, including cholesterol or cholesteryl esters and fatty acids. In many embodiments, the core of the particles will be substantially free of protein other than the antigen to be delivered, i.e. less than 1% (w/w), and in most cases less than 0.1% of other protein.

The emulsion usually further includes at least one surfactant, which may be a natural biologically compatible surfactant such as phospholipid (e.g., lecithin) or a pharmaceutically acceptable non-natural surfactant such as TWEEN-80. The surfactant assists in

- 8 -

maintaining particles within the desired size range and preventing their aggregation.

In many embodiments the emulsion may be formed and stabilized in the substantial absence of one or more  
5 cosurfactants selected from the group consisting of an unhalogenated aliphatic C3-C6 alcohol, a free fatty acid, a mono- or di-glyceride, a polyglycerol fatty acid ester, or a lysophosphatidyl choline. One or all of the above-named cosurfactants may comprise less than 5%, commonly  
10 less than 1%, and frequently less than 0.1% (w/w) relative to the weight of the hydrophobic core.

The emulsion also contains an immunogen, preferably of an antigen. The antigen may be hydrophilic, hydrophobic, or amphiphilic since the emulsion provides a  
15 biphasic lipophilic-hydrophilic microenvironment.

The continuous phase of the emulsion is aqueous, and may contain salts, sugars, antioxidants, preservatives, microbicides, buffers, osmoticants, cryoprotectants, and other pharmaceutically useful additives or solutes.

20 Bioadhesive polymers, such as those currently used in pharmaceutical preparations, optionally may be added to the emulsion to further enhance the immunogenicity through mucous membranes achieving mucosal immunity.

The concentrations indicated by % in the following  
25 description denote the concentration by weight of the component per 100 units volume of the entire composition. Also, all indicated concentrations should be understood as standing each by itself, and not cumulative. It should be appreciated by the artisan, however, that there  
30 is some dependency between the concentrations of the components, e.g., higher concentrations of the oil will generally require higher concentrations of the emulsifier and surfactant.

The emulsion used in the vaccine compositions of the  
35 present invention typically contain about 0.5 to 50% oil, about 0.1 to 10% emulsifier and about 0.05 to 5% of the

- 9 -

non-aqueous phase. The combined concentration of the oily and the amphiphilic phase increases viscosity of the composition. In order to obtain a non-viscous composition, the concentration of the non-aqueous phase should generally not exceed about 25%.

Preferred concentrations of the components are as follows: about 1 to 20% oil, most preferably about 1 to 10% for a composition intended to be fluid, about 0.2 to 5% of the emulsifier, with about 0.2 to 5% for the surfactant, with about 0.1 to 2% being particularly preferred.

The antigen is present in an amount of about 0.00001 to 1% by weight of the composition, preferably about 0.0001 to 0.5% and most preferably 0.001 to 0.1%. Depending upon whether the antigen is hydrophilic or hydrophobic, it will be physically present in the oily phase at the oil-water interface, or the aqueous component. Also, the pH of these compositions should be in a range which is suitable for the stability of the antigen.

The submicron emulsion adjuvant formulations of this invention differ from the emulsion adjuvant composition of Patent Application WO 90/14837 in the following features:

- (i) all the compositions described in the abovementioned application are prepared extrinsically, namely the antigens are added externally to the previously prepared emulsion by mixing, while in the present invention the antigen can be added either extrinsically or more preferably intrinsically, together with all the emulsion components before emulsification and prior to the mixture of oil and water phases as detailed in the examples; and
- (ii) all the examples in the abovementioned disclosure contain an immunopotentiating amount of an immune-stimulating glycopeptide of the type of

- 10 -

muramyl peptides or their lipophilic derivatives, such as MTP-PE, while in the present invention all the SME adjuvant compositions are prepared in the absence of any muramyl peptide immunostimulating agent.

#### Composition of the Hydrophobic Core

A hydrophobic compound which is suitably non-toxic may be used as a component of the core. Examples include triglycerides, preferably of food grade purity or better, which may be produced by synthesis or by isolation from natural sources. Natural sources may include animal fat or vegetable oil, e.g., soya oil, a source of long chain triglycerides (LCT). Other triglycerides of interest are composed predominantly of medium length fatty acids, denoted medium chain triglycerides (MCT). A medium chain triglyceride (MCT) oil, is a triglyceride in which the carbohydrate chain has 8-12 carbons. Although MCT oil can be considered as a component of vegetable oil, it is separately identified herein because of its particular utility as a preferred oil for use in the present emulsions. In addition, MCT oil is available commercially. Examples of such MCT oils include TCR (trade name of Societe Industrielle des Oleagineux, France, for a mixture of triglycerides wherein about 95% of the fatty acid chains have 8 or 10 carbons) and MIGLYOL 812 (trade name of Dynamit Nobel, Sweden for a mixed triester of glycerine and of caprylic and capric acids). The fatty acid moieties of such triglycerides may be unsaturated, monounsaturated or polyunsaturated; mixtures of triglycerides having various fatty acid moieties are acceptable. The core may comprise a single hydrophobic compound or a mixture of compounds.

Examples of vegetable oils include soybean oil, cotton seed oil, olive oil, sesame oil and castor oil. Oily fatty acids, such as oleic acid and linoleic acid,

- 11 -

fatty alcohols, such as oleyl alcohol, and fatty esters, such as sorbitan monooleate and sucrose mono-, di- or tripalmitate, can be used as the oil component, although these are not as preferred as the other oils mentioned  
5 above.

Optionally, the core may contain cholesterol or cholesteryl esters. In many embodiments, cholesteryl esters or cholesterol comprise less than 10%, 5%, 1%, or even 0.1% (w/w) of the total hydrophobic components of  
10 the core. Considerations in choice of core material include low toxicity and irritancy, biocompatibility, safety, metabolizability, stability and high loading capacity for antigens. Preferred hydrophobic core components have molecular weights below about 5,000 Da,  
15 more preferably below about 2,000 Da, and most preferably below about 1,500 Da.

#### Composition of Surfactant Component

The amphiphilic phase comprises the emulsifiers and  
20 surfactants. Preferred emulsifiers include a phospholipid compound or a mixture of phospholipids. Suitable components include lecithin; EPICURON 120 (Lucas Meyer, Germany) which is a mixture of about 70% of phosphatidylcholine, 12% phosphatidylethanolamine and  
25 about 15% other phospholipids; OVOTHIN 160 (Lucas Meyer, Germany) which is a mixture comprising about 60% phosphatidylcholine, 18% phosphatidylethanolamine and 12% other phospholipids; a purified phospholipid mixture-LIPOID E-75 or LIPOID E-80 (Lipoid, Germany) which is a  
30 phospholipid mixture comprising about 80% phosphatidylcholine, 8% phosphatidylethanolamine, 3.6% non-polar lipids and about 2% sphingomyelin. Purified egg yolk phospholipids, soybean oil phospholipids or other purified phospholipid mixtures are useful as this  
35 component. This listing is representative and not

- 12 -

limiting, as other phospholipid materials which are known to those skilled in the art can be used.

Some embodiments of the invention provide an improved bioadhesive emulsion comprising incorporation of  
5 an amphiphilic and/or nonionic surfactant such as phosphatidylcholine, Tween, etc., together with a mucoadhesive polymer macromolecule as described below.

Particularly suitable emulsifiers include phospholipids, which are highly biocompatible.  
10 Especially preferable phospholipids are phosphatidylcholines (lecithins), such as soy or egg lecithin. Other suitable phospholipids include phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylserine, phosphatidic acid, cardiolipin, and  
15 phosphatidylethanolamine. The phospholipids may be isolated from natural sources or prepared by synthesis. Phospholipid surfactants are believed usually to form a single monolayer coating of the hydrophobic core.

The surfactant is believed in many embodiments to  
20 interact with the bioadhesive polymer to form a hydrated polymer film coating associated with the surfactant at the stabilized lipid/water interface surrounding the particle core.

Preferred compositions contain a surfactant  
25 component. The surfactant stabilizes the outer surface of the hydrophobic core component of the emulsion particles, thereby promoting a more uniform and manipulatable particle size. Usually the surfactant is present in a proportion of 0.01% to 5% (w/w) of the  
30 emulsion, preferably 0.05% to 2% and most preferably 0.1 to 2%.

Typically, the weight percentage of surfactant relative to hydrophobic (oil or other lipid) component is from 0.2% to 50%, more preferably from 5% to 20%. Higher  
35 ratios of surfactant to core lipid tend to promote smaller particle core diameters.

- 13 -

Surfactants may be either natural compounds, such as phospholipids and cholates, or non-natural compounds such as: polysorbates, which are fatty acid esters of polyethoxylated sorbitol (TWEEN); polyethylene glycol  
5 esters of fatty acids from sources such as castor oil (EMULFOR); polyethoxylated fatty acid, e.g., stearic acid (SIMULSOL M-53); NONIDET; polyethoxylated isooctylphenol/formaldehyde polymer (TYLOXAPOL);  
10 polyoxyethylene fatty alcohol ethers (BRIJ); polyoxyethylene nonphenyl ethers (TRITON N); polyoxyethylene isooctylphenyl ethers (TRITON X). Mixtures of surfactant molecules, including mixtures of surfactants of different chemical types, are acceptable. Surfactants should be suitable for pharmaceutical administration and compatible  
15 with the peptide to be delivered.

In certain embodiments, the emulsion may be limited in or substantially free of one or more cosurfactants selected from the group consisting of free fatty acids, mono- or diglycerides (fatty acid mono- or diesters of  
20 glycerol), aliphatic C3-C6 monoalcohols (exclusive of, e.g., chlorobutanol or other haloalkyl alcohol preservative), polyglycerol fatty acid esters, or lysophosphatidyl choline. In many embodiments, the particular limited cosurfactant from the above group may  
25 constitute less than 5%, usually less than 1%, often less than 0.1%, relative to the weight of hydrophobic core component. In some embodiments, one or more cosurfactants may be present.

### 30 Continuous Aqueous Phase

The aqueous component will be the continuous phase of the emulsion and may be water, saline or any other suitable aqueous solution which can yield an isotonic and pH controlled preparation.

35 In addition, the compositions of the invention may also comprise conventional additives such as



- 14 -

preservatives, osmotic agents or pressure regulators and antioxidants. Typical preservatives include Thimerosal, chlorbutanol, and methyl, ethyl, propyl or butyl parabens. Typical osmotic pressure regulators include  
5 glycerol and mannitol, with glycerol being preferred. The preferred oil phase antioxidant is  $\alpha$ -tocopherol or  $\alpha$ -tocopherol succinate. The aqueous phase may also include an antioxidant of a polyamine carboxylic acid such as ethylene diamino tetraacetic acid, or a pharmaceutically  
10 acceptable salt thereof.

### Antigens

Since the SME particles provide a hydrophilic-lipophilic microenvironment, either water-soluble or  
15 lipid-soluble immunogens can be incorporated in the SME vaccines of the present invention. Examples of peptide antigens are: hydrophilic natural or synthetic peptides and proteins derived from bacteria, viruses and parasites, such as the recombinant gp160 envelope protein  
20 of the HIV virus; natural or synthetic glycoproteins derived from parasites, bacteria or viruses such as the native surface glycoprotein of *Leishmania* strain or subunit vaccines containing part of the glycopeptides alone or covalently conjugated to lipopeptides like  
25 lauryl-cystein hydrophobic foot; protein toxoids such as the Staphylococcus enterotoxin B toxoid, either chemically or physically inactivated, non-toxic bacterial surface structures (fimbrial adhesions) of *Escherichia coli* strains such as the Shiga-like Toxin B Subunit (SLT-B) and AF-RL, a pilus adhesion which is a virulence  
30 factor for RDEC-1 *E. coli* strain; outer membrane proteins of *Neisseria meningitidis*; Hepatitis B surface antigen; native or synthetic malaria antigens derived from different portions of *Plasmodium falciparum*, etc.  
35 Examples of lipophilic or hydrophobic immunogens are lipopolysaccharides (LPS), such as detoxified LPS

- 15 -

obtained from E. coli (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, USA); Lipid A, the terminal portion of LPS, such as the one isolated from Salmonella minnesota R595 from List Biological Laboratories (CA, USA).

- 5        In some embodiments, the emulsion particles will be free or substantially free of the above or other nonbioactive proteins, i.e. less than 5%, usually less than 1%, and frequently less than 0.1% (w/w) protein relative to other particle components.

10

#### Bioadhesive SME Vaccine Adjuvants

- Submicron emulsion vaccine adjuvants of the present invention optionally may contain a bioadhesive macromolecule or polymer in an amount sufficient to
- 15    confer bioadhesive properties. The bioadhesive macromolecule enhances the delivery and attachment of antigens on or through the target mucous surface conferring mucosal immunity. The bioadhesive macromolecule may be selected from acidic non-naturally
- 20    occurring polymers, preferably having at least one acidic group per four repeating or monomeric subunit moieties, such as polyacrylic acid and/or polymethacrylic acid (e.g. CARBOPOL, CARBOMER), poly(methylvinyl ether/maleic anhydride) copolymer, and their mixtures and copolymers;
- 25    acidic synthetically modified natural polymers, such as carboxymethylcellulose (CMC); neutral synthetically modified natural polymers, such as (hydroxypropyl) methylcellulose; basic amine-bearing polymers such as chitosan; acidic polymers obtainable from natural
- 30    sources, such as alginic acid, hyaluronic acid, pectin, gum tragacanth, and karaya gum; and neutral non-naturally occurring polymers, such as polyvinylalcohol; or their mixtures.


- The ionizable polymers may be present as free acids,
- 35    bases, or salts, usually in a final concentration of 0.01-0.5% (w/v).

- 16 -

As used herein, a polymer of an indicated monomeric subunit contains at least 75%, preferably at least 90%, and up to 100% of the indicated type of monomer subunit; a copolymer of an indicated type of monomeric subunit  
5 contains at least 10%, preferably at least 25% of that monomeric subunit.

A preferred bioadhesive macromolecule is the family of acrylic acid polymers and copolymers (e.g. CARBOPOL™). These contain the general structure:

10



One preferred group of polymers of acrylic acid is commercially available under the tradename CARBOPOL.

15 CARBOPOL 934 is available in a pharmaceutical grade.

Preferred bioadhesive or mucoadhesive macromolecules have a molecular weight of at least 50 kDa, preferably at least 300 kDa, and most preferably at least 1,000 kDa.

Favored polymeric ionizable mucoadhesive macromolecules  
20 have not less than 2 mole percent acidic groups (e.g. COOH, SO<sub>3</sub>H) or basic groups (NH<sub>2</sub>, NRH, NR<sub>2</sub>), relative to the number of monomeric units. More preferably, the acidic or basic groups constitute at least 5 mole percent, more preferably 25 or even 50, up to 100 mole %  
25 relative to the number of monomeric units of the macromolecule.

Preferred macromolecules also are soluble in water throughout their relevant concentration range (0.01-0.5% w/v).

30

#### Methods of Preparation

A further embodiment of the invention relates to methods for preparation of submicron emulsion vaccine adjuvants intrinsically and extrinsically as extensively  
35 detailed in the examples. In general, SME intrinsic formulations are prepared by emulsifying the antigen

- 17 -

together with the SME components, while SME extrinsic formulations are prepared by adding externally the antigen to previously prepared plain SME.

## 5 Dehydrated SME Adjuvants

A further aspect of the invention provides dehydrated emulsions, made by dehydrating the submicron emulsion of the types described herein. Dehydrated submicron emulsions may be stored for prolonged periods with minimal degradation, then reconstituted with water shortly before use. Residual water content in the dehydrated emulsion is usually less than 5% (w/w), commonly less than 2%, and often less than 1%.

Dehydration may be performed by standard methods, such as drying under reduced pressure; when the emulsion is frozen prior to dehydration, this low pressure evaporation is known as lyophilization. Freezing may be performed conveniently in a dry ice-acetone or ethyl alcohol bath. The pressure reduction may be achieved conveniently with a mechanical vacuum pump, usually fitted with a liquid nitrogen cold trap to protect the pump from contamination. Pressures in the low millitorr range, e.g., 10-50 millitorr, are routinely achievable but higher or lower pressures are sufficient.

A cryoprotectant or anticoalescent compound may be added to the emulsion prior to dehydration to inhibit flocculation and coalescence upon rehydration. The cryoprotectant may be of any type known in the art, including sugars and polysaccharides such as sucrose or trehalose, and non-natural polymers such as polyvinylpyrrolidone. Cryoprotectants are usually present at less than 25%, commonly 10%, more commonly 5%, 4% (w/v) or less in the emulsion before lyophilization.

A preferred category of cryoprotectants is amino acids and oligopeptides. Preferred amino acids include valine, leucine, isoleucine, lysine, methionine,

- 18 -

threonine, serine, arginine, alanine, glycine, histidine, proline, phenylalanine, taurine, and carnitine, although any of the other natural amino acids may also be present. Amino acids may be of either D or L configuration, or a  
5 mixture; the natural L form is preferred. Amino acids may be present as their salts or esters, and as mixtures of amino acids or as pure species.

A particularly preferred amino acid is glycine, which may be present either in pure form or as a  
10 component of a mixture, e.g. in an hydrolyzate of collagen or other glycine-rich protein.

Mixtures of oligopeptides, especially di- and tripeptides, are another preferred type of cryoprotectant. These may be prepared conveniently as  
15 partial protein hydrolysates or enzymatic digests.

The cryoprotective amino acids or oligopeptides are generally present in the emulsion at a concentration of about 0.25 to 25% (w/w), preferably about 0.5 to 12% (w/w), more preferably about 1 to 10% (w/w) and commonly  
20 3-6% (w/w).

Cryoprotectants and methods of making lyophilized submicron emulsions are taught in more detail in Pharmos Corp. PCT International Application Publication WO 93/15736 entitled "Dry Compositions for Preparing  
25 Submicron Emulsions", the content of which is expressly incorporated herein by reference.

#### EXAMPLES

This invention is illustrated by the following non-  
30 limiting examples:

**Example 1: Preparation of Intrinsic-gp160-SME vaccine**  
*Antigen description and background.* The urgency and high priority for developing an effective vaccine against the  
35 human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) are fully recognized. The reasons for using subunits of the virus as the basis

- 19 -

of an HIV vaccine are the perceived overwhelming requirements for safety. Despite the high efficacy of many live attenuated viral vaccines, the requirement for product safety, especially in the case of retroviruses, has favored the subunit approach to the extent that all of the current candidate preparations in clinical prophylactic trials are of this type, being mainly gp160, the envelope protein of HIV, or part thereof. Studies have shown that gp160 attaches the virus to the cell and also facilitates the fusion of the cell and virus during the early stages of infection.

The gp160 antigen used in this example was supplied by MicroGeneSys Inc. This gp160 recombinant protein in alum-adsorbed vaccine formulation is currently under evaluation in human clinical trials.

#### *Preparation of oil phase*

The oil phase was composed of MCT oil (2.0 g Mygliol 812, Huls, Germany), lecithin (0.4 g, Lipoid E-80, Germany), and DL- $\alpha$ -tocopherol succinate (8.0 mg, Merck, Germany). The lipids and oil were weighed in a 250-ml beaker and mixed at room temperature using a magnetic stirrer during 2-4 hrs until a homogenous and almost clear solution was obtained.

#### *Preparation of water phase*

Polysorbate 80 (1 % w/v, Montanox 80, DF, Seppic, France), Glycerol (2.2% w/v, Merck, Germany), EDTA (0.1 % w/v, Merck, Germany), and purified water (to 100% w/v) were dissolved at room temperature in a 250-ml beaker by gentle shaking using a magnetic stirrer plate until a clear homogenous solution was obtained (about 15-20 min). A total volume of 40 ml of water phase was prepared. A vial containing 2.1 ml of gp160 recombinant protein (MicroGeneSys, Inc., CT, USA) at a concentration of 0.25

- 20 -

mg/ml in saline was added to the water phase and the mixture was gently shaken for 5 min.

*Mixing of oil and water phase*

- 5 The oil phase was heated to 40°C and added to the beaker containing the 40 ml of water phase. The mixture was gently stirred for 10-15 min at room temperature.

*Preparation of oil-in-water coarse emulsion*

- 10 An oil-in-water emulsion containing the antigen was prepared using the medium-sized disperser and homogenizing unit Polytron PT3000 (Kinematics, Switzerland) at 3,600 rpm for 30 sec. The resultant micronsized emulsion was cooled at room temperature.

15

*Sizing of emulsion to submicron range*

- The droplet size of the emulsion obtained after Polytron step was lowered to the submicron (nanosize) range by submitting the emulsion to high shear homogenization using the Gaulin Microlab 70 High Pressure Homogenizer (APV Gaulin, Germany) at 800 bar pressure. A total of 10 cycles were performed. The particle size distribution of the resultant formulation was determined using an N4MD Coulter Particle Size Analyzer (Coulter Electronics, England). The differential weight % mode of the instrument indicated the existence of homogeneous population of SME droplets with a mean particle size distribution of 43±35 nm. The estimated final gp160 concentration in the formulation was 56 µg/ml.

30

**Example 2: Preparation of Intrinsic-SME vaccine containing gp160 complexed to proteosomes**

- Proteosomes are meningococcal outer membrane protein preparations purified from *Neisseria meningitidis* by detergent extraction and ammonium sulphate precipitation.

35

- 21 -

They naturally form 20-100 nm diameter hydrophobic membraneous vesicles. Antigens are non-covalently complexed to proteosomes via hydrophobic interactions by mixing the antigen and proteosomes in the presence of detergent and then removing the detergent over a prescribed period of time, permitting hydrophobic interactions to occur in the system.

Proteosomes have previously been shown to enhance the parenteral immunogenicity of peptides, gangliosides, lipopolysaccharides and proteins hydrophobically complexed to them (Lowell, G.H., L.F. Smith, R.C. Seid and W.D. Zollinger, J. Exp. Med. 167: 658-663, 1988). (Lowell, G.H., W.R. Ballou, L.F. Smith, R.A. Wirtz, W.D. Zollinger and W.T. Hockmeyer. Science 240: 800-802, 1988; Lowell, G.H. 1990. In: New Generation Vaccines. G.C. Woodrow and M.M. Levine (eds.), Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, p. 141-160). and have been shown to be safe for human use in vaccine trials involving tens of thousands of humans in the development of anti-meningococcal vaccines (Zollinger, W.D. New and Improved Vaccines Against Meningococcal Disease. In: New Generation Vaccines, G.C. Woodrow and M.M. Levine (eds.), Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, p. 325-348). Furthermore, proteosomes confer mucosal immunogenicity upon non-immunogenic antigens when administered orally or intranasally. Such intranasal or oral proteosome vaccines induce up to 100% protection against lethal pneumonia or keratoconjunctivitis in experimental murine models of shigellosis (Orr, N., G. Robin, D. Cohen, R. Arnon and G. Lowell. 1993. Immunogenicity and efficacy of oral or intranasal *Shigella flexneri* 2a and *Shigella sonnei* proteosome-lipopolysaccharide vaccines in animal models. Infect. Immun. 61: 2390-2395).

35



- 22 -

Oil and aqueous phases were prepared as described in Example 1. A vial containing 2.5 mg of gp160 non-covalently complexed to proteosomes and suspended in saline was added to the water phase (40 ml total volume) and the mixture was gently shaken for 5 min. The subsequent steps involved in the preparation of the SME, i.e. mixing of oil and water phases, homogenization and sizing to submicron range were carried out as described in Example 1. The particle size volume % distribution of the resultant formulation showed a mean droplet size of 38±41 nm. The estimated final gp160 concentration in the formulation was 46 µg/ml.

**Example 3: Preparation of Extrinsic-gp160-SME vaccine**

Extrinsic formulations of gp160 in SME were performed by preparing plain SME as described in Example 1, but in the absence of the antigen and adding externally the aqueous solution containing the gp160 to the plain SME by gently shaking for 15 min at room temperature. A total volume of 2.3 ml of plain SME (average droplet size of 50±36 nm) were mixed with 2.1 ml solution of gp160 in saline containing 1.1 mg protein to give a final gp160 concentration of 0.25 mg/ml.

25

**Example 4: Preparation of Extrinsic-SME vaccine containing gp160 complexed to proteosomes**

Extrinsic formulation of gp160 conjugated to proteosomes in SME were performed by preparing plain SME as described in Example 1, but in the absence of the antigen and adding externally an aqueous dispersion of the gp160-conjugated to proteosomes to the plain SME by gently shaking for 15 min at room temperature. A total volume of 5.4 ml of plain SME (average droplet size of 50±36 nm) were mixed with 7.0 ml saline containing 3.1 mg of gp160

- 23 -

complexed to proteosomes to give a final gp160 concentration of 0.25 mg/ml.

**Example 5: Preparation of Intrinsic-SME vaccine containing Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B Toxoid-F**

Antigen description and background: Staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) is a potent toxin that causes food borne illness among civilians and military personnel stationed around the world and is identified as a lethal offensive military threat that endangers both military and civilian populations through aerosolization.

SEB infection in civilian populations is related to staphylococcal food poisoning by SEB and related toxins: also contributes to death from staphylococcal sepsis following overwhelming staph infection. It also causes staph scalded skin syndrome in kids - i.e. morbidity and mortality from staphylococcal infections (P. Marrack and J. Kappler, Science, vol. 248, pp. 705-711.)

Due to the similarity to the human response both in sensitivity and clinical signs and the lack of an established model for lethality to SEB delivered via the respiratory route in lower animal species, non-human primates have been the primary animal model for development of vaccines to protect against respiratory challenge with SEB. Early work indicated that monkeys develop decreased sensitivity to repeated mucosal administration of the toxin. This suggested that protection to SEB exposure might be provided by toxoid immunization. Studies in rhesus monkeys and other animals indicated that oral immunization with formalinized toxoid was ineffective against parenteral challenge whereas parenteral immunization with formalinized SEB toxic induced serum antibodies that recognized native SEB (Bergdoll, M.S. Enterotoxins. pp.

- 24 -

559-598 In: Staphylococci and Staphylococcal Infections, eds. C.S.F. Easmon and C. Adlam, Academic Press, London, 1983). In the latter studies, however, several parenterally immunized monkeys that acquired anti-SEB antibodies had severe immediate-type hypersensitivity reactions when challenged with SEB toxin. These adverse reactions suggested that the formalinized SEB toxoid alone was not a candidate for parenteral vaccine development. Additionally, as the military threat would be by aerosolization, it was determined that studies on protection provided by serum IgG to respiratory challenge as well as protective effects provided by anti-SEB secretory IgA in the respiratory tract were required.

15 Recently, two identical lots of formalinized SEB toxoid were made at Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Washington DC (WRAIR) following previously described specifications (Kaminski, R., S. Grate, E. Aboud-Pirak, C. Hooper, T. Levin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and G. Lowell, 1993). Comparison of induction of respiratory IgA and serum Ig by intranasal and parenteral proteosome vaccines formulated with staphylococcal enterotoxin B toxoids or ricin peptides. In Proceedings of 1993 Medical Defense Bioscience Review, Baltimore, Maryland).

25 This WRAIR formalinized toxoid preparation designated Tox-F was non-toxic in rabbits at 0.5 mg/kg, the dose at which SEB toxin is invariably lethal. Furthermore, it was non-toxic in the murine D-galactosamine model of SEB toxicity even at 500 µg per BALB/C mouse; 50 µg of SEB is 100% lethal in such mice. The physical characteristics of Tox-F were similar to that described by Eldridge (Eldridge, J.H., Staas, J.K., Meulbroek, J.A., Tice, T.T. and Gilley, R.M. Biodegradable and biocompatible poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide) microspheres as an adjuvant for staphylococcal enterotoxin B toxoid which enhances the level of toxin-neutralizing antibodies. Infect. Immun.

- 25 -

59: 2978-2986, 1991) is that SDS-PAGE gel of Tox-F showed two distinct bands with estimated MW of 23,000 and 46,000. Biologically, Tox-F also had the characteristics previously reported by Eldridge et al., namely in a Mouse  
5 Spleen Lymphocyte Proliferative Assay in which concentrations of SEB toxin of 0.37-10.0  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  were mitogenic, Tox-F was entirely non-mitogenic at all concentrations tested (0.04-100.0  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ).

10 *Preparation of SEB-Toxoid F*

Formalinized SEB-Toxoid (Tox-F) was prepared according to the method of Warren, J.R., Spero, L. and Metzger, J.F. 1983. J. Immunol. 111: 885-892 and as per Eldridge, J.H. et al. 1991, Infect. Immun. 59: 2978-2986 by formalin  
15 treatment for 30 days at 37°C, pH 7.5.

Preparation of SME

*Preparation of oil phase*

20 Oil phase was composed of MCT oil (0.77g, Mygliol 812, Huls, Germany), lecithin (0.14 g, Lipoid E-80, Germany) and DL- $\alpha$ -tocopherol succinate (9.0 mg, Merck, Germany). The lipids and oil were weighed in a 250-ml beaker and mixed at room temperature using a magnetic stirrer during  
25 2-4 hours until a homogenous and almost clear solution was obtained.

*Preparation of water phase*

Polysorbate 80 (0.5% w/v, Montanox 80, DF, Seppic, France), Glycerol (2.2% w/v, Merck, Germany), EDTA (0.1% w/v, Merck, Germany), and purified water (to 100% w/v) were dissolved at room temperature in a 250-ml beaker by gently shaking using a magnetic stirrer plate until a clear homogenous solution was obtained (about 15-20 min).  
35 A total volume of 45 ml of water phase was prepared. A vial containing 10 ml of SEB-Toxoid F in 6.3 ml buffer

- 26 -

was added to the water phase and the mixture was gently shaken for 5 min.

*Preparation of oil-in-water coarse emulsion*

- 5 An oil-in-water emulsion containing the antigen was prepared by heating the oil phase to 40°C and mixing it with the water phase with the aid of a 10 ml glass pipette until a homogenous and milky dispersion was obtained. The resultant micronsize emulsion was cooled  
10 at room temperature.

*Sizing of emulsion to submicron range*

- The droplet size of the emulsion obtained after the Polytron step was lowered to submicron (nanosize) range  
15 by subjecting the emulsion to high shear homogenization using the Gaulin Microlab 70 High Pressure Homogenizer (APV Gaulin, Germany) at 800 bar pressure. A total of 5 cycles were performed. The particle size distribution of the resultant formulation was determined using an N4MD  
20 Coulter Particle Size Analyzer (Coulter Electronics, England). The differential weight % mode of the instrument indicated the existence of a single and homogenous population of SME droplets with a mean particle size distribution of 47.5±58 nm (see Fig. 1).  
25 The estimated final antigen concentration in the formulation was 220 µg/ml.

**Example 6:** Preparation of Extrinsic SME vaccine containing *Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B Toxoid-F*  
30

- Extrinsic formulations of SEB-Toxoid-F in SME were performed by preparing plain SME as described in Example 5, but in the absence of the antigen and adding externally the aqueous solution containing the SEB-  
35 Toxoid-F to the plain SME by gently shaking for 15 min at room temperature. A total volume of 0.780 ml of stock

- 27 -

SME were mixed with 0.780 ml solution of SEB-Toxoid-Fin 0.01 M Tris 0.15M NaCl buffer containing 0.780 mg protein to give a final SEB-Toxoid-F concentration of 0.500 mg/ml.

5

**Example 7: Preparation of Extrinsic SME vaccine containing Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B Toxoid F complexed to proteosomes**

Extrinsic formulations of SEB-Toxoid-F in SME were performed by preparing plain SME as described in Example 5, but in the absence of the antigen and adding externally the aqueous solution containing the SEB-Toxoid-F complexed to proteosomes to the plain SME by gently shaking for 15 min at room temperature. A total volume of 0.780 ml of stock SME were mixed with 0.780 ml solution of SEB-Toxoid-F complexed to proteosomes in 0.01 M Tris 0.15M NaCl buffer containing 0.780 mg protein to give a final SEB-Toxoid-F concentration of 0.500 mg/ml.

**Example 8: Preparation of Extrinsic-SME vaccine containing Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B Toxoid C**

*Preparation of SEB-Toxoid-C*  
Carboxymethylated Toxoid (Tox-C): Carboxymethylated with 0.4 Bromoacetic Acid, pH 7.0 for 11 or 21 days in the dark at 20°C as per Stema, G.N. and Bergdoll, M.S. 1982. Biophys. Biochem. Res. Commun. 105: 121-126 and as per Scheuber et al. 1985. Infect. Immunol. 50: 869-876. Made at WRAIR according to described methods.

Extrinsic formulations of SEB-Toxoid-C in SME were performed by preparing plain SME as described in Example 5, but in the absence of the antigen and adding externally the aqueous solution containing the SEB-Toxoid-C to the plain SME by gently shaking for 15 min at room temperature. A total volume of 0.165 ml of stock SME were mixed with 0.110 ml solution of SEB-Toxoid-C in

- 28 -

water containing 0.110 mg protein to give a final SEB-Toxoid-C concentration of 0.400 mg/ml.

**Example 9: Preparation of Extrinsic SME vaccine containing Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B Toxoid-C complexed to proteosomes**

Extrinsic formulations of SEB-Toxoid-C in SME were performed by preparing plain SME as described in Example 5, but in the absence of the antigen and adding externally the aqueous solution containing the SEB-Toxoid-C complexed to proteosomes to the plain SME by gentle shaking for 15 min at room temperature. A total volume of 0.045 ml of stock SME were mixed with 0.230 ml solution of SEB-Toxoid-C complexed to proteosomes in water containing 0.110 mg protein to give a final SEB-Toxoid-C concentration of 0.400 mg/ml.

**Example 10: Preparation of Mucoadhesive Extrinsic SME Formulation containing 0.05% Carbopol**

420 ml of distilled water in which were dissolved 0.250 g Carbopol-940 (Goodrich, U.S.) and 11.2 g glycerol (isotonic agent), pH 3.82, were warmed to 45°C and mixed with the oil phase, consisting of 21.2 g MCT oil (medium chain triglycerides, SIO, France), 3.74 g Lipoid E-75 (egg lecithin) and 1.5% w/v Emulfor EL-620 (Rhône-Poulenc, France), at a temperature of 60°C. After mixing by high speed stirrer (Polytron 3000, Kinematica, Switzerland) at 20,000 rpm for 5 minutes the mixture was dispersed by a high pressure homogenizer (Gaulin Microlab 70) at 700 bar for 5 minutes (approximately 10 cycles). The resulting emulsion was cooled, and after adjusting the pH to 5.0, the emulsion was filtered and packed in sterile bottles through a 0.2 µm filter.

After filtering, droplet size was measured by the photon correlation spectroscopy using a particle size analyzer (N4MD, Coulter Electronics, U.S.A.). The droplet size for

- 29 -

carbopol containing droplets was  $127 \pm 79$  nm. This mucoadhesive composition was prepared as an extrinsic plain SME formulation to be added to the specific immunogen by gentle mixing.

5

**Example 11: Enhanced Immunogenicity to SEB Antigen after Parenteral Immunization with Intrinsic and Extrinsic SEB-Toxoid F-SME Vaccines compared to Free Antigen or Alum-Adjuvanted Vaccine**

10

The antigen used was Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B (SEB) toxoid F alone or SEB toxoid complexed to meningococcal outer membrane proteosomes. This antigen was formulated with SME adjuvant either intrinsically or extrinsically, as described in Examples 5, 6 and 7, and compared to SEB toxoid-F alone or adjuvanted with alum. Outbred CD-1 mice, 5 animals/group, were immunized twice at approximately 3 week intervals by intramuscular injections with 10 or 50  $\mu$ g doses of SEB Toxoid F. Sera, obtained after first and second immunizations, were analyzed by ELISA techniques using anti-SEB as the detecting antibody. As shown in Figures 2 and 3, intrinsic and extrinsic-SME formulations with (Fig. 2) or without proteosomes (Fig. 3) were effective in enhancing immunity to SEB antigens. In all the cases, the anti-SEB serum IgG titers obtained with the SME-adjuvant were several orders of magnitude higher than those obtained with the alum-adjuvanted formulation.

**Example 12: Enhanced Immunogenicity to SEB Antigen after Parenteral Immunization with SEB-Toxoid-C SME Vaccines compared to Free Antigen or Alum-Adjuvanted Vaccine.**

The antigen used was carboxymethylated SEB-Toxoid or Toxoid-C. The antigen was incorporated extrinsically in SME, as described in Example 9. The immunization protocol and sera analysis for antibody activity was as

35



- 30 -

described in Example 11. Mice were immunized intramuscularly with two 10 $\mu$ g doses of Toxoid-C. As shown in Table 1, parenteral immunization with Toxoid-C-SME vaccine induced a 4-fold increase in the level of serum IgG even in the absence of proteosomes.

TABLE 1. Effects of formulation with saline, alum or submicron emulsion (SME) on parenteral (intramuscular) immunogenicity of carboxymethylated SEB toxoid (Toxoid-C)

Antigen (2 doses)	Adjuvant	Anti-SEB serum IgG titer (OD>0.5 at 1 hr)
SEB Toxoid-C	None (saline)	819,200
SEB Toxoid-C	Alum	6,553,600
SEB Toxoid-C	SME	3,276,800

**Example 13: Intranasal Immunization with SEB-Toxoid F SME Vaccines**

Immunization against biologic threat agents, such as SEB requires the development of vaccines that can protect against respiratory challenge. The induction of respiratory IgA as well as serum IgG is likely to be critically important to the success of such vaccines. To elicit effective respiratory, as well as systemic, immunity it may be necessary to develop a vaccine system that can be delivered intranasally, as well as parenterally.

BALB/c mice (8 animals/group) were immunized twice by intranasal slow dropwise instillation into both nostrils with SEB-Toxoid F (10  $\mu$ g doses) formulated with or without proteosomes as a free antigen or adjuvanted with SME. Immunogenicity of these formulations was evaluated after the two immunizations by determining systemic IgG antibody activity against SEB and induction of anti-SEB respiratory IgA antibody titers.

- 31 -

As shown in Table 2, Toxoid-F formulated in extrinsic SME either with or without proteosomes conferred intranasal immunogenicity resulting in 500- to 2000-fold enhancement of anti-SEB serum IgG antibody activity.

5

**TABLE 2. Effects of formulation of formalized SEB (Toxoid-F) with submicron emulsion (SME) and/or proteosomes on induction of anti-SEB serum IgG following intranasal immunization**

Antigen (2 doses)	Adjuvant	Anti-SEB serum IgG titers (O.D.>0.5 at 1 hr)	
		w/o proteosomes	formulated with proteosomes
SEB Toxoid-F	None (saline)	100	51,200
SEB Toxoid-F	SME	51,200	204,800

15

Extrinsic SME formation of Toxoid-F complexed to proteosomes also enhanced lung IgA antibody levels to SEB (Table 3).

**TABLE 3. Enhanced production of anti-seb respiratory (lung) IgA antibodies after intranasal immunization of BALB/c mice with 10 µg SEB-Toxoid F antigen alone or adjuvanted with SME**

Antigen	Adjuvant	Anti-SEB lung IgA titer
SEBtox-F	None (saline)	0
SEBtox-F	Extrinsic SME	0
SEBtox-F	Intrinsic SME	0
SEBtox-F -proteosomes	None (saline)	0.6
SEBtox-F -proteosomes	Extrinsic SME	10

35

- 32 -

**Example 14:** Intranasal immunization with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) antigen from *S.flex* complexed to proteosomes adjuvanted with Extrinsic-SME.

Evidence that the SME has potential as a mucosal adjuvant is also shown by the ability of the extrinsic-SME adjuvant formulation to markedly enhance the lung IgA of the proteosome-LPS antigen when given intranasally (Table 4) compared to the free antigen. The immunization protocol included two groups of BALB/c mice (4 animals each) which were immunized intranasally with 10 µg does of LPS from *S.flex* compared to proteosomes. The non-covalent complexation of LPS to proteosomes was carried out in a similar way as described in Example 2.

**TABLE 4.** Induction of mucosal immunity after intranasal immunization of Balb/C mice with 10 µg LPS-complexed to proteosomes as a free antigen or adjuvanted with extrinsic-SME.

Antigen	Adjuvant	Anti-S.flex Lung LPS IgA			
LPS (S.flex)-Proteosomes	None (saline)	256	512	1024	2
LPS (S.flex)-Proteosomes	Extrinsic SME	>2048	>2048	>2048	>2048

**Example 15:** Oral (intragastric) immunization of mice with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) antigen from *S.flex* complexed to proteosomes adjuvanted with extrinsic-SME.

BALB/c mice (5 animals/group) were immunized orally (intragastrically) with 100 µg does of LPS complexed to proteosomes and adjuvanted with extrinsic SME. As shown in Table 5, the SME adjuvant formulation was able to induce higher anti-S.flex LPS intestinal IgA titers, compared to the free antigen.

- 33 -

**TABLE 5. Induction of mucosal immunity after oral (intragastic) immunization of Balb/C mice with LPS-complexed to proteosomes as a free antigen or adjuvanted with extrinsic-SME.**

5	Antigen	Adjuvant	Anti S.flex LPS intestinal IgA
	LPS (S.flex)-Proteosomes	None	74
	LPS (S.flex)-Proteosomes	Extrinsic-SME	169

10

**Example 16: Protection against systemic challenge with SEB by SEB Toxoid vaccines formulated with SME adjuvant administered parenterally or intranasally**

Mice immunized parenterally (Table 6) or intranasally (Table 7) with Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B in mice immunized with SEB Toxoid-F vaccines formulated with SME or proteosomes were significantly protected against systemic SEB challenge (100 µg toxin).

**TABLE 6. Correlation of anti-SEB serum IgG titers obtained after parenteral (i.m.) immunization (50 µg SEB-Toxoid F) with protection against systemic challenge with 100 µg SEB ion CD-1 mice.**

25	Antigen	Adjuvant	Anti-SEB IgG	died/total	Survival
	control	none	0	10/18	44%
	SEB-tox F	none	3,200	3/5	40%
	SEB-tox F	alum	51,200	4/5	20%
	SEB-tox F	SME-Extrinsic	204,800	0/5	100%
30	SEB-tox F	SME-Intrinsic	204,800	0/4	100%

35

- 34 -

**TABLE 7. Correlation of anti-SEB serum IgG titers with protection against systemic (im) challenge with 100 µg SEB in D-galactosamine-sensitized inbred (Balb/C) mice: effect of proteosomes and SME on efficacy of intranasal immunization with formalinized (Toxoid-F) SEB vaccines.**

Vaccine	Adjuvant	Anti-SEB IgG serum titer	SEB challenge results	
			#died/ #total	Survived
None	None	<50-	12/12	0%
Toxoid-F	SME	51,200	4/7	40%
Toxoid F- Proteosomes	None	409,600	2/5	60%

The data in Table 6 show a very good correlation between the anti-SEB serum IgG titers obtained after intramuscular immunization of CD-1 mice with protection against systemic challenge with 100 µg of SEB. In the groups immunized with either extrinsic or intrinsic SME-SEB Toxoid F vaccines, the survival was 100% while for animals immunized with free antigen or alum-adsjuvanted vaccine the survival was 0 and 40%, respectively.

Table 7 shows similar data for BALB/c mice immunized intranasally with SEB-Toxoid F alone or complexed to proteosomes in SME adjuvant or as free antigen.

**Example 17: Enhanced murine immunogenicity of gp 160 HIV antigen incorporated in SME adjuvants either intrinsically or extrinsically.**

The antigens used were gp 160 alone or gp 160 complexed to meningococcal outer membrane proteosomes. These antigens were formulated with SME either intrinsically or extrinsically and compared to the gp 160 used without an adjuvant, as described in Examples 1-4. Mice (5 animals/group) were immunized three times at 3-4 week intervals. Sera, obtained after 2 and 3 immunizations

- 35 -

were analyzed for specific anti-gp 160 peptide IgG responses by quantitative Western blot techniques using seven specific HIV epitopes as the detecting antigens. As shown in Table 8a, both intrinsic and extrinsic formulations of SME were effective in enhancing immunity to several gp160 epitopes. Note that the strongest and broadest anti-HIV responses were obtained with the intrinsic formulation using gp 160-proteosomes as the antigen (rows 5 and 11 in Table 8a).

10

**Example 18:      Enhanced lapine immunogenicity of gp160  
                 HIV antigen free or complexed to  
                 proteosomes formulated in SME vaccine  
                 adjuvants.**

The antigens used were gp160 alone or gp160 complexed to meningococcal outer membrane proteosomes. These antigens were formulated with SME either intrinsically or extrinsically and compared to gp160-alum, gp160-proteosomes, and gp160-proteosomes-alum formulations. Rabbits (4 animals/group) were immunized parenterally four times at different intervals. Sera, obtained after second, third and fourth immunizations were analyzed by for specific anti-gp160 peptide IgG responses by quantitative Eastern blot techniques using seven specific HIV epitopes as the detecting antigens. As shown in Table 8b, intrinsic formulations with SME with or without proteosomes were effective in enhancing immunity to several gp160 epitopes and even gp160 formulated with only an SEM-intrinsic preparation was as good as or better than gp160 formulated with alum, a known adjuvant currently used in people.

- 36 -

**TABLE 8a: Sub-Micron Emulsions Enhance the Immunogenicity of Proteins alone or Protein-Proteosomes Complexes in Mice**

5	Antigen	Adjuvant	EPITOPES						
			C1 48-128	C21E 254-274	C3 342-405	CKen 735-752	C41 579-605	C448 453-518	V3 290-338
			Conserved areas of gp120			gp40 areas of gp160			variable loop
After 2 Immunizations									
10	gp160	none	1	157,447	1	1	73,089	397,458	32,979
	gp160	SME-intrinsic	131,848	36,805	1	1	39,357	123,000	1
	gp160	SME-extrinsic	111,102	215,056	1	88,089	76,348	596,159	1
15	gp160-proteosomes	none	325,008	870,970	1	48,437	65,787	994,290	17,758
	gp160-proteosomes	SME-intrinsic	1,772,367	1,237,152	162,326	1	161,763	411,147	1
	gp160-proteosomes	SME-extrinsic	678,516	254,246	17,205	1	224,494	566,667	18,260
After 3 Immunizations									
20	gp160	none	354,732	350,071	1	13,879	183,047	535,709	62,257
	gp160	SME-intrinsic	131,211	186,281	1	2,807	119,449	130,965	1
	gp160	SME-extrinsic	241,500	382,106	1	56,308	105,334	551,054	46,416
gp160-proteosomes	none	576,316	617,847	1	149,657	346,582	922,360	416,493	
gp160-proteosomes	SME-intrinsic	2,423,815	1,201,061	196,191	6,196	310,867	873,932	61,281	
gp160-proteosomes	SME-extrinsic	88,454	584,250	4,298	19,432	64,524	301,775	132,800	

**TABLE 8b: Sub-Micron Emulsions Enhance the immunogenicity of Proteins alone in Rabbits**

Data From Rabbit Immunogenicity

<b>After 2 Immunizations</b>									
30	gp160	alum	92,402	63,382	3,288	35,913	29,721	22,150	10,183
	gp160	SME-intrinsic	95,403	84,672	27,840	50,221	103,119	94,622	88,896
	gp160	SME-extrinsic	126,521	143,598	6,243	2,604	86,541	69,847	44,426
<b>After 3 Immunizations</b>									
35	gp160-proteosomes	alum	56,202	78,323	4,281	17,380	24,368	7,320	7,623
	gp160-proteosomes	SME-intrinsic	36,980	13,608	5,339	6,333	21,318	36,369	54,347
	gp160-proteosomes	SME-extrinsic	111,852	90,717	12,986	10,229	77,818	68,167	54,176

- 37 -

Another analysis of the experiment showing adjuvant effects of SME with gp160 vaccines in rabbits in which the antigens used were gp160 alone or gp160 complexed to meningococcal outer membrane proteosomes is shown in  
5 Figures 4 and 5. These antigens were formulated with SME either intrinsically or extrinsically and compared to gp160-alum, gp160-proteosomes, and gp160-proteosomes-alum formulations. Rabbits (4 animals per groups) were immunized parenterally four times at different intervals.  
10 Sera, obtained after second, third and fourth immunizations were analyzed by ELISA techniques using several specific HIV epitopes as the detecting antigens. As shown in Figure 4, intrinsic formulations with SME with or without proteosomes were effective in enhancing  
15 immunity to several gp160 epitopes.

Among the six groups tested, the intrinsic-SME vaccine formulation containing the gp160 antigen in the absence of alum, was the most effective vaccine eliciting the  
20 high antibody titers with improved and extended immunogenicity against several selected gp 160 epitopes compared to the standard alum-adjuvanted vaccine actually in clinical trials.

25 More importantly, the SME-intrinsic formulations of gp160 were able to induce IgG antibodies against the V3 loop epitope of the gp160 molecule (Fig. 5 and Table 8b), the site of the principal neutralizing determinant which blocks binding to CD4 (the main cellular receptor for  
30 HIV). Since the standard alum-adjuvanted formulation did not generate antibodies against the V3 peptide domain, the additional epitope recognition and enhanced total immunogenicity of SME-intrinsic adjuvant is considered to be a very significant achievement.



- 38 -

These data are encouraging for the development of enhanced subunit formulations of gp160 vaccines for HIV vaccine therapy.

5   **Example 19:    Immunogenicity of *Leishmania* glycoproteins formulated in extrinsic-SME adjuvant either with or without proteosomes.**

10   The gene for a surface protein antigen of *Leishmania* major gp63, has been cloned and sequenced. This protein, recombinantly expressed in live *Salmonella*, or given in a sub-unit vaccine as either the purified native gp63 or selected gp63 peptides (Jardim A., Alexander J., Teh S.,  
15   Ou D, Olafson R.W. 1990. J. Exp. Med. 172: 645), has recently been shown to limit the extent to lesion development in murein models of cutaneous leishmaniasis when given with certain adjuvants. These results suggest  
20   that a vaccine to protect humans against leishmaniasis composed of defined purified components is a realistic goal. The sub-unit vaccines were effective, however, only when administered with adjuvants containing  
25   *Corynebacterium parvum* (CPV) and poloxamer 407. Other adjuvants (Complete Freund's Adjuvant, CFA), or lack of adjuvant exacerbated disease.

25   Major success was achieved with the discovery that subcutaneous immunization with one small gp63 peptide covalently conjugated to lauryl-cysteine protected against severe *Leishmania* cutaneous lesions with reduction of lesions in three separate experiments.

30   The objective in the present example was to demonstrate immunogenicity and efficacy of an SME-adjuvanted lipopeptide vaccine to protect against severe morbidity of cutaneous leishmaniasis in murein models.

35

- 39 -

TABLE 9. Effect of immunization with LC-467 *Leishmania* lipopeptide formulated in SME adjuvant either with or without proteosomes on lesion size.

5	Formulation	% decrease on lesion size from Control in CBA
	LC-467-proteosomes	52
	LC-467-Extrinsic SME	90
	LC-467-proteosomes-Extrinsic SME	90

10

The antigen used were lipopeptides obtained from the major glycoprotein of the *Leishmania* parasite. The peptides (denoted 457 and 154) were covalently attached to lauryl cysteine to serve as the hydrophobic foot. The vaccine was prepared by gentle mixing of the antigens at room temperature with plain extrinsic-SME for 15 min.

Two animal models were used: (1) CBA mouse, model similar to human cutaneous disease; and (2) BALB/c mice, model similar to visceral *Leishmania* which is lethal if not treated.

The immunization protocol included two injections of the animals (8 mice/group) at weeks 0 and 3 with the experimental vaccines (50 µg peptide/mouse). At week 6 the mice were infected with live *Leishmania* parasites and the lesion size as function of time was measured and compared. The results were expressed as % decrease from control (PBS injection). Different vaccine formulations containing SME with or without proteosomes were tested. Appropriate control formulations were used.

All the formulations tested containing the 154 glycopeptide had no effect on lesion size, even if this vaccine contained proteosomes, SME or their combination. However, when the LC-467-glycopeptide was used, up to 90%

- 40 -

decrease in lesion size was obtained by incorporation of the lipopeptide in SME, even in the absence of proteosomes (Table 8).

5 Since there is considerable homology among *Leishmania* strains, this peptide may have wide application in ameliorating lesions caused by other forms of *Leishmania*.

#### **Incorporation by reference**

10 To the extent necessary to understand or complete the disclosure of the present invention, all publications, patents, and patent applications mentioned herein are expressly incorporated by reference therein to the same extent as though each were individually so  
15 incorporated.

20

25

30

35

- 41 -

## THE CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A vaccine adjuvant composition of an oil-in-water submicron emulsion consisting essentially of about 0.5 to 50% of a first component of an oil, about 0.1 to 10% of a second component of an emulsifier, about 0.05 to 5% of a non-ionic surfactant, about 0.00001 to 1% of an immunogen, and an aqueous continuous phase, said submicron emulsion having a mean droplet size in the range of between about 0.03 and 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$ .
2. The emulsion of claim 1 wherein the mean droplet size is between about 0.05 and 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ .
3. The emulsion of claim 1 wherein the first component is a medium chain triglyceride oil, a vegetable oil, or mixtures thereof.
4. The emulsion of claim 3 wherein the first component is present in an amount of about 1 to 20%.
5. The emulsion of claim 1 wherein the emulsifier is a phospholipid compound or a mixture of phospholipids.
6. The emulsion of claim 5 wherein said emulsifier is selected from the group consisting of phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylethanolamine, phosphatidylserine, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidic acid, sphingomyelin and cardiolipin.
7. The emulsion of claim 6 wherein the emulsifier is present in an amount of about 0.2 to 5%.
8. The emulsion of claim 1 wherein the surfactant is selected from the group consisting of fatty alcohols,

- 42 -

polyethylene glycol esters of fatty acids,  
polyethoxylated fatty acid esters, polyethoxylated fatty  
alcohol ethers, polyethoxylated alkylphenyl ethers.

5           9.    The emulsion of claim 1 wherein the surfactant  
is an alkylene oxide condensate of an organic compound  
having one or more hydroxyl groups.

10           10. The emulsion of claim 9 wherein the non-ionic  
surfactant is present in an amount of about 0.1 to 5%.

15           11. The emulsion of claim 1 wherein the first  
component is present in an amount of about 1 to 20%, and  
the second component and the non-ionic surfactant are  
each present in an amount of about 0.1 to 2%.

12.   The composition of claim 1 wherein the  
immunogen is hydrophilic, lipophilic or amphiphilic.

20           13. The composition of claim 1 wherein the  
immunogen is a native, recombinant or synthetic peptide,  
protein or glycoprotein derived from a bacteria, virus or  
parasite.

25           14. The composition of claim 13 wherein the antigen  
is the gp160 envelope protein of the HIV virus, or a  
fragment thereof.

30           15. The composition of claim 13 wherein the antigen  
is the surface glycoprotein of a *Leishmania* parasite or a  
fragment thereof.

35           16. The composition of claim 15 wherein the surface  
glycoprotein or peptide is covalently conjugated to a  
hydrophobic foot.

- 43 -

17. The composition of claim 16 wherein the hydrophobic foot is lauryl-cysteine.

5 18. The composition of claim 1 wherein the immunogen is a protein toxoid.

19. The composition of claim 18 wherein the immunogen is Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B toxoid.

10

20. The composition of claim 1 wherein The immunogen is an oligosaccharide, a polysaccharide or a lipopolysaccharide.

15 21. The composition of claim 20 wherein the immunogen is a lipopolysaccharide from *Shigella flexneri*.

22. The composition of claim 1 wherein the immunogen is complexed to proteosomes.

20

23. The composition of claim 1 which is essentially free of added amounts of muramyl peptides or their lipophilic derivatives.

25 24. A vaccine adjuvant composition of an oil-in-water submicron emulsion consisting essentially of about 0.5 to 50% of a first component of an oil, about 0.1 to 10% of a second component of an emulsifier, about 0.05 to 5% of a non-ionic surfactant, about 0.00001 to 1% of an  
30 immunogen, and an aqueous continuous phase, said submicron emulsion having a mean droplet size in the range of between about 0.03 and 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$ , in combination with a bioadhesive or mucoadhesive macromolecule in an

35

- 44 -

amount sufficient to impart adhesive properties to the vaccine.

25. The composition of claim 24 wherein the  
5 mucoadhesive macromolecule is selected from the group consisting of acidic naturally occurring polymers; acidic synthetically modified natural polymers; acidic nonnatural polymers; basic polymers; neutral nonnatural polymers; and neutral naturally occurring polymers.

10

26. The composition of claim 25 wherein the acidic nonnatural polymer is selected from the group consisting of polymers and copolymers containing acrylic acid units, polymers and copolymers containing methacrylic acid  
15 units, and poly(methylvinylether/maleic anhydride) copolymers.

27. The composition of claim 25 wherein the acidic nonnatural polymer is polyacrylic acid.

20

28. A vaccine adjuvant composition of an oil-in-water submicron emulsion consisting essentially of about 0.5 to 50% of a first component of an oil, about 0.1 to 10% of a second component of an emulsifier, about 0.05 to  
25 5% of a non-ionic surfactant, about 0.00001 to 1% of an immunogen, a cryoprotectant in an amount sufficient to facilitate lyophilization of the composition, and an aqueous continuous phase, said submicron emulsion having a mean droplet size in the range of between about 0.03  
30 and 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$ .

29. The composition of claim 28 wherein the cryoprotectant is selected from the group consisting of an amino acid, an oligopeptide and polyvinylpyrrolidone.

35

- 45 -

30. A dehydrated vaccine adjuvant composition obtained by lyophilizing the composition of claim 28 or 29, whereby a submicron emulsion is generated when water is added to the dehydrated composition.

5

31. A dehydrated vaccine adjuvant composition for administration of an immunogen, comprising a plurality of submicron particles having a hydrophobic core, the immunogen, and a surfactant, whereby a submicron emulsion  
10 is generated when water is added to the dehydrated composition.

32. A method for preparing the composition of claim 1 by an intrinsic procedure, which comprises adding the  
15 immunogen to the oil phase before emulsification of the water and oil phases.

33. A method for preparing the composition of claim 1 by an intrinsic procedure, which comprises adding the  
20 immunogen to the water phase before emulsification of water and oil phases.

34. A method for preparing the composition of claim 1 by an extrinsic procedure, which comprises preparing a  
25 submicron emulsion from the oil, surfactant and emulsifier components, and adding the immunogen externally by mixing it with the previously prepared submicron emulsion.

30 35. A vaccine adjuvant composition prepared by adding water to the dehydrated composition of claim 31 to generate a submicron emulsion which contains the immunogen therein.

35 36. A method for providing enhanced immunogenicity in a subject which comprises administering the



- 46 -

composition of claim 1, 24, 28 or 35 to the subject to introduce the immunogen therein.

37. The method of claim 36 which further comprises  
5 administering the composition parenterally, orally, intranasally or topically to the subject.

38. A method of achieving mucosal immunity in a subject which comprises administering the composition of  
10 claims 24 to 27 to mucosal surfaces of the subject to introduce the immunogen therein.

39. The method of claim 38 which further comprises  
15 applying the composition at least upon a portion of the vaginal, nasal, rectal or oral mucosa of the subject.

20

25

30

35

1/5




FIG. 1

2/5




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B

3 / 5




FIG. 3

4/5




FIG. 4

5/5




FIG. 5

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US93/10402

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**

IPC(5) : A61K 39/008, 39/085, 39/21, 9/10, 9/107, 9/127, 37/20  
US CL : 424/88, 89, 452, 450, 462

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 424/88, 89, 452, 450, 462; 436/829; 514/937, 938

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

APS, Medline, Biosis, Embase, Chem Abstracts

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	WO, A, 92/00081 (Barchfeld et al) 09 January 1992, see pp. 5-7, 11, 20, 23, 25, 27, 30-33.	1-39
Y	US, A, 5,026,543 (Rijke) 25 June 1991, see column 1, lines 21-29.	1-39
Y	Biotechnology Therapeutics, Volume 2, Nos. 1-2, issued 1991, Barrett et al, "Characterization of a Vaccinia-Derived Recombinant HIV-1 gp160 Candidate Vaccine and its Immunogenicity in Champanzees", pages 91-106, see pages 91-92.	14

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance	*X*	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
*E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y*	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*G*	document member of the same patent family
*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search

26 JANUARY 1994

Date of mailing of the international search report

FEB 08 1994

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. NOT APPLICABLE

Authorized officer

JULIE KRSEK-STAPLES

Telephone No. (703) 308-0196

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

 International application No.  
 PCT/US93/10402

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	Immunology, Volume 72, No. 1, issued January 1991, Yang et al, "Identification and Characterization of Host-Protective T-Cell Epitopes of a Major Surface Glycoprotein (gp63) from <i>Leishmania major</i> ", pages 3-9, see entire document.	15-17
Y	Journal of Immunology, Volume 140, No. 4, issued 15 February 1988, Russell et al, "Effective Immunization against Cutaneous Leishmaniasis with Defined Membrane Antigens Reconstituted into Liposomes", pages 1274-1279, see entire document.	15-17
Y	Journal of Experimental Medicine, Volume 167, No. 2, issued February 1988, Lowell et al, "Peptides Bound to Proteosomes via Hydrophobic Feet Become Highly Immunogenic Without Adjuvants", page 658-653, see pages 658-659.	16-17
Y	Infection and Immunity, Volume 59, No. 9, issued September 1991, Eldridge et al, "Biodegradable and Biocompatible Poly(DL-Lactide-Co-Glycolide) Microspheres as an Adjuvant for Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B Toxoid Which Enhances the Level of Toxin-Neutralizing Antibodies", pages 2978-2986, see entire document.	18-19
Y	Infection and Immunity, Volume 61, No. 6, issued June 1993, Orr et al, "Immunogenicity and Efficacy of Oral or Intranasal <i>Shigella flexneri</i> 2a and <i>Shigella sonnei</i> Proteosome-Lipopolysaccharide Vaccines in Animal Models", pages 2390-2395, see entire document.	20-21
Y	Pharmaceutical Research, Volume 9, No. 12, Issued December 1992, Thermes et al, "Mucoadhesion of Copolymers and Mixtures Containing Polyacrylic Acid", pages 1563-1567, see page 1563.	24-27, 38, 39
Y	Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, Volume 37, No. 10, issued October 1985, Morimoto et al, "Enhancement of Nasal Absorption of Insulin and Calcitonin Using Polyacrylic Acid Gel", pages 134-136, see page 134.	24-27, 38, 39
Y	US, A, 3,852,155 (Moore) 03 December 1974, see column 2, lines 9-10.	28-31, 35
Y	US, A, 5,185,146 (Altenburger) 09 February 1993, see column 4, lines 23-33.	28-31, 35
Y	US, A, 4,073,743 (Midler Jr. et al) 14 February 1978, see column 2, lines 51-55.	33



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US93/10402

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US, A, 4,795,635 (Peleg et al) 03 January 1989, see column 3, lines 38-42.	34
Y	Analytical Biochemistry, Volume 211, issued 15 May 1993, Friede et al, "Lyophilized Liposomes as Shelf Items for the Preparation of Immunogenic Liposome-Peptide Conjugates", pages 117-122, see page 117.	30, 31, 35
Y	Journal of Immunological Methods, Volume 135, Nos. 1-2, issued 31 December 1990, Ruegg et al, "Preparation of Proteosome-Based Vaccines. Correlation of Immunogenicity with Physical Characteristics", pages 101-109, see page 102.	22